

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J)

Case No. – OA-1015 of 2018

Sukumar Mahato VERSUS – The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order For the Applicant : Mr. S.Ghosh,
Learned Advocate.

For the State Respondents : Mrs. S. Agarwal,
Learned Advocate.

13
09.06.2022

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the order contained in the Notification No. 118-WBAT/1E-08/2003 (Pt.-II) dated 11th February, 2022 issued in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 6 (5) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

The instant application has been filed praying for following relief :-

a)An order do issue directing the concerned respondent authorities, specially the General Manager-in-charge, District Industries Center, Purulia to modify the Order No.03/Estt./DIC/PRL/18-19 Dated 25.04.2018 to the extent by enhancing the remuneration from Rs. 8500/- only to the tune of Rupees. 20,000/- per month only in terms of the Memo No. 1107-F (P) dated 25.02.2016 immediately including arrears thereof within a stipulated time period.

b)An order do issue directing the respondent authorities to dispose of the repeated representations made before them seeking for extension of the actual financial benefit of the Memorandums issued by the Finance Department, West Bengal regarding enhancement of pay and allowances, and to pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

c) Any other appropriate order/orders direction/directions as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper to

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Sukumar Mahato

Case No. **OA-1015 of 2018**

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

protect the right of the applicant and in the ends of justice.

However, during the course of hearing, the counsel for the respondent has submitted that they had already considered the case of the applicant and paid him enhanced remuneration as per Finance Department Circular dated 08.02.2019 vide order dated 07.05.2019 along with the applicable arrears etc. Therefore, counsel for the respondent has vehemently submitted though the applicant had paid enhanced remuneration as per Circular dated 25.02.2016, however, he has already been granted enhanced remuneration as per Circular dated 08.02.2019 also. Therefore, the OA has become infructuous.

In view of the above, since the respondent has already enhanced the remuneration of the applicant as per Circular dated 08.02.2019, the OA has become infructuous. Accordingly OA is disposed of as become infructuous.

URMITA DATTA (SEN)
MEMBER (J)

sc